

**EFFECT OF SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS  
IN RESIDUAL SOILS ON SLOPE FAILURES**

**DAMANHURI JAMALLUDIN**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2016**

**EFFECT OF SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS IN RESIDUAL  
SOILS ON SLOPE FAILURES**

**by**

**DAMANHURI JAMALLUDIN**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**March 2016**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the Research Management Institute, UiTM, Shah Alam, for providing funds to conduct this study. The author also wishes to express his utmost gratitude to his main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Fauziah Ahmad (USM) and field supervisor Prof. Dr. Roslan Zainal Abidin (IUKL) for their support, encouragement and excellent supervision towards the completion of this study.

I would express my sincere gratitude to Ir. Dr. Mohd Farid Ahmad, En Badrul Nizam Ismail, En Muhammad Hafeez Osman, Dr Mohammad Nizam Ibrahim, Dr. Ahmad Zia Ui-Saufie Mohamad Japeri and Assoc. Prof. Ahmad Shukri Yahaya (USM) who have assisted and provided valuable advice to the author. The author also wishes to record his thanks to Dr. Clotida Petrus (Head of Civil Engineering Faculty, UiTM Penang) for allowing the usage of the testing equipments of the faculty in order to carry out all the required testings. The author also wishes to express his deep appreciation to En. Mohd Naim Zainol, Cik Suhana Mohd Noor, Cik Siti Fatimah Zainal, Pn. Nurul Ain Abdullah and other research assistants (RA) for carrying out all the testings required for this research. My sincere thanks also go to the final year civil engineering degree students who have collected all the soil samples for the required tests and also conducted the survey works. They include Suriati Ahmad, Mohd Siddiq Abdul Rahim, Tengku Firdaua Tuan Lah, Lokman Amir Hamzah, Wan Nuursufila Salleh and Noor Nashairie Mohd Nasir. All of them have graduated in 2008.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Cik Farah Hariyani Haris and En Abdul Malek Ishak (technicians in UiTM Penang Geotechnical Laboratory)

who have assisted the RA in conducting all the tests. The author would also like to thank the PWD Balik Pulau, Penang and PWD Baling, Kedah as well as PWD Gerik, Perak for giving the permission to the author to take soil samples and conducting JKR probe tests at all the study areas. Thanks are also due to all the faculty members and others who have directly or indirectly involved in helping the author to complete this study.

I am also grateful to Ir. Mohd Rozi Shaari and Ir. Azhari Abdullah from IKRAM Sdn. Bhd. who have provided soil investigation results within the study areas. Thanks are also due to many of the author's friends in PWD Malaysia who have assisted in one way or the other in helping to complete this study. Thanks are also due to PWD Malaysia where the author has worked for 15 years before joining UiTM. The valuable site and practical experiences gained on constructions in TLDM Lumut and geotechnical designs in IKRAM as well as in Geotechnical Section of Road Design Unit, PWD have helped the author to complete this study. These include the opportunity to design slopes and other geotechnical aspects. The books and journal papers collected while working in IKRAM and Road Design Unit, PWD later have become very useful references in this study. This work is dedicated to the author's wife, Noor Ashikin Noordin and all the 4 grown up children.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	ii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures.....	xxii
List of Plates.....	xxxvi
List of Abbreviations.....	xxxvii
List of Symbols.....	xxxix
Abstrak.....	xl
Abstract.....	xlii

### CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of the Study.....	1
1.2 Problem Statements.....	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study.....	6
1.4 Limitations of the Study.....	6
1.5 Thesis Outline.....	7

### CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Important Definitions.....	9
2.3 Shallow Slope Failures.....	11
2.3.1 Causes of Failures.....	14
2.4 Erosion Study.....	16
2.5 Factor of Safety (FOS) .....	17
2.5.1 Values of FOS Used in Slope Design.....	20
2.5.2 Stability Analysis.....	21
2.5.3 Slope Stability Analysis Method Using Methods of Slices.....	22
2.5.4 Morgenstern - Price Slope Stability Method.....	25
2.5.5 Equations of Some of Other Methods of Slices.....	26

2.5.6	Slope Stability Software.....	26
2.5.6 (a)	SLOPE / W Software.....	27
2.5.6 (b)	PLAXIS Software.....	27
2.6	Malaysian Geology.....	29
2.6.1	Geology of Penang.....	30
2.6.2	Geology of Baling.....	31
2.7	Residual Soils.....	33
2.7.1	Geology and Residual Soil of Study Areas.....	33
2.8	Soil Classification Systems.....	34
2.8.1	The British Soil Classification System (BSCS) .....	35
2.9	Highly Variable Strength of Residual Soils.....	36
2.10	Short and Long Terms Stability Conditions.....	39
2.11	Direct Shear Box Tests and Shear Strength Parameters.....	41
2.11.1	Consolidated Drained Shear Box Tests.....	41
2.11.2	Slow Shearing Rate for CD Shear Box Tests.....	43
2.11.3	Shear Strength of Residual Soils.....	44
2.11.4	Lower Limits of Shear Strength of Residual Soils.....	47
2.12	Distribution Fitting.....	50
2.12.1	Common Types of Distributions for Shear Strength Parameters.....	50
2.12.2	Performance Indicators (PI) .....	52
2.12.3	Methods to Determine the Best Fit Distribution.....	53
2.12.4	Coefficient of Variation, t-Square and Chi-Square Tests.....	55
2.12.5	Skewness.....	57
2.12.6	Kurtosis.....	58
2.13	Uncertainty in Slope Failure Evaluations.....	59
2.14	Soil Investigation Conducted at Slope Failures in Malaysia.....	61
2.15	Summary of Literature Review.....	62
2.16	Gap of Knowledge.....	63
 CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction.....	66

3.2	Research Methodology Flow Chart.....	67
3.3	Locations of Study Areas.....	68
3.4	Slope Locations and Sample Locations.....	70
3.5	Study Areas in Penang.....	71
3.6	Soil Samplings at Slope Locations in Penang.....	72
3.7	Study Area in Baling.....	74
3.8	Soil Samplings at Slope Locations in Baling.....	75
3.9	Samples Collected for 1-D Consolidation Tests.....	77
3.10	Collection of Soil Samples.....	79
3.10.1	Samples Collected at Slope Failure Taken at Depth of 100mm.....	79
3.10.2	Samples Collected at Slope Failure Taken at Varying Depths.....	80
3.10.3	Samples Collected at Stable Slopes.....	81
3.11	CIU Triaxial Tests in the Study Area.....	82
3.12	Secondary Data Collection.....	83
3.13	Field Testing.....	87
3.14	Laboratory Testing.....	88
3.14.1	Slow Shearing Rate from 1-D Consolidation Tests.....	89
3.14.2	CD shear box tests.....	91
3.14.2 (a)	Normal Stresses Applied to CD Shear Box Tests.....	91
3.15	Data Distribution Analysis.....	92
3.15.1	Common Distribution Types.....	93
3.15.2	Normal Distribution.....	94
3.15.2 (a)	Properties of Normal Distribution.....	94
3.15.3	Weibull Distribution.....	97
3.15.4	Gamma Distribution.....	97
3.15.5	Beta Distribution.....	98
3.16	Performance Indicators (PI) .....	98
3.16.1	Normalised Absolute Errors (NAE) .....	99
3.16.2	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE).....	99
3.16.3	Index of Agreement (IA) .....	99

3.16.4	Prediction Accuracy (PA) .....	100
3.16.5	Coefficient of Determination ( $R^2$ ) .....	100
3.17	Procedures to Analyse Data.....	101
3.17.1	Procedures to Randomise the Shear Strength Data.....	101
3.17.2	Determination of the Best Fit Distribution.....	102
3.17.3	Goodness-of-Fit Test Using Kolmogorov - Smirnov Tests Method.....	103
3.17.4	Validating the Model Training Data.....	103
3.17.5	Determination of the Lower Bound Values.....	104
3.17.6	Verification of the Lower Bound Values.....	105
3.17.7	Summary of the Data Analysis Procedures.....	105
3.18	Box Plots.....	106
3.19	Summary of Research Methodology.....	107
 CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		
4.1	Introduction.....	108
4.2	Summary of Data in This Study.....	109
4.3	The Summary of PWD Data Obtained from PWD Projects.....	111
4.4	Results of Laboratory and Field Tests.....	112
4.4.1	1-D Consolidation Test Results.....	112
4.4.1 (a)	Loose and Dense Bulk Unit Weights of Soil Samples.....	112
4.4.1 (b)	The Average Displacement at Peak Shear Strength.....	115
4.4.1 (c)	Results of 1-D Consolidation Tests.....	116
4.4.2	Natural and Submerged Moisture Content Tests.....	118
4.4.3	Particle Density Tests.....	122
4.4.4	Atterberg Limit Tests.....	123
4.4.5	Particle Size Distributions and Soil Types.....	127
4.4.5 (a)	Particle size distributions.....	128
4.4.5 (b)	Soil Types.....	131
4.4.6	Bulk Unit Weight.....	134
4.4.7	JKR Probe Tests.....	136



4.4.8	Effects of Soil Properties on Stable Slope.....	137
4.4.9	Slope Geometry.....	138
4.4.10	Effective Shear Strength in this Study.....	140
4.4.10 (a)	Consolidation Tests Prior to Shearing in CD Shear Box Tests.....	140
4.4.10 (b)	Results of CD Shear Box Tests.....	141
4.4.10 (c)	Cohesion in Baling is Lower Than in Penang.....	144
4.4.10 (d)	The t-Square Test Done on Shear Strength Data.....	148
4.4.10 (e)	The Extremely High Friction Angle Values..	151
4.4.10 (f)	Higher Maximum Cohesion in Granitic than Sedimentary RS.....	153
4.5	Variation of Shear Strength With Depth.....	154
4.6	Repeatable CD Shear Box Tests.....	157
4.7	CD Shear Box and CIU Triaxial Tests in This Study.....	162
4.8	Shear Strength from This Study and PWD Data.....	163
4.9	Model Development.....	165
4.9.1	Introduction and Objective.....	165
4.10	Shear Strength Data.....	166
4.11	Separation of the Cohesion and Friction Angle Data.....	168
4.12	Best Fit Distribution.....	169
4.13	Creation of the Predicted Validation Data.....	175
4.14	Comparison Between Observed Validation and Predicted Validation Data.....	176
4.15	Box Plots.....	178
4.16	Goodness-of-Fit Test Using Kolmogorov - Smirnov Tests Method.....	181
4.17	Threshold Values of Shear Strength Parameters.....	182
4.18	Basic Concept to Obtain Lower Bound, Mean and Upper Bound	184
4.19	Distribution of Square Root Cohesion ( $\sqrt{c'}$ ) from Training Data.....	186
4.20	Distribution of Sine Friction Angle ( $\sin \phi'$ ) from Training Data...	187

4.21	Distribution of Square Root Cohesion ( $\sqrt{c'}$ ) from PWD Data.....	188
4.22	Distribution of Tangent Friction Angle ( $\tan \phi'$ ) from PWD Data...	189
4.23	Confirmation of the Modelling Using SLOPE / W Analysis.....	191
4.24	Variations of Shear Strength Parametrs of the Same Soil Sample..	196
4.25	Lower Bound are Values from This Study.....	201
4.26	Combining the Shear Strength of Granitic and Sedimentary Residual Soils.....	204
4.27	Percentage of Majority of the Data.....	205
4.28	To Confirm the Lower Bound Values By Obtaining FOS < 1.....	207
4.29	Summary.....	209

## CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Summary of This Study.....	211
5.2	Conclusions.....	212
5.3	Main Contribution.....	215
5.4	Recommendations for Future Studies.....	217

References.....	219
-----------------	-----

## Appendices

### List of Publications

## **LIST OF TABLES**

		<b>Page</b>
Table 1.1	Slope failures reported to GCO Hong Kong in 1993 (Malone and Ken, 1997)	2
Table 2.1	Important definitions used in this study	10
Table 2.2	Main causes of reduction in shear strength leading to slope failures.	15
Table 2.3	ROM erosion risk scale (Zainal Abidin et al., 2013)	17
Table 2.4	Comparison of FOS using various methods (Nash 1992	22
Table 2.5	Comparison of methods and assumptions used for methods of slices	24
Table 2.6	Element of statical equilibrium satisfied by various Limit Equilibrium Methods (Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993)	24
Table 2.7	Comparison of some of the commonly used methods of slices (Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993)	25

Table 2.8	FOS Equations of some of other methods of slices (Nash, 1992)	26
Table 2.9	Rock types and alluvial soil in Malaysia (Singh and Huat, 2008)	29
Table 2.10	Summary of soil classification based on BS 5930 (1999)	35
Table 2. 11	Summary of shear strength parameters found by earlier researchers based on soil characteristic studies	38
Table 2.12	Ranges of the limits of shear strength parameters for Malaysian and Singaporean residual soils based on the soil characteristic studies	39
Table 2.13	Typical slow shearing rate used for CD direct shear box tests	44
Table 2.14	Ranges of shear strength parameters from earlier researchers based on slope failures and slopes in residual soils	46
Table 2.15	Ranges of the limits of shear strength parameter found at slope failures and slopes in residual soils	47

Table 2.16	Lower limits of shear strength parameters from earlier researchers	49
Table 2.17	Common PDF used in the shear strength distribution studies	51
Table 2.18	Common Performance indicators	52
Table 2.19	Typical values of various performance indicators under various distributions types for shear strength	55
Table 2.20	SSPS output of the t-square tests applied to the independent groups	56
Table 2.21 :	The summary of the literature reviews	62
Table 3.1 :	Soil sampling conditions at slope locations	69
Table 3.2 :	Soil sampling conditions at slope locations in Penang	74
Table 3.3	Soil sampling conditions at slope locations in Baling	76
Table 3.4	Summary of shear strength tests obtained for PWD projects from various publications	84

Table 3.5	Summary of shear strength tests for PWD projects obtained from SI reports	85
Table 3.6	Typical output of SPSS software using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test	103
Table 4.1	Locations of slope failures in Northern Malaysia	109
Table 4.2	Locations of stable slopes in Northern Malaysia	110
Table 4.3	Soil samples taken at varying depths	110
Table 4.4	Shear strength data from different locations of slope failures	110
Table 4.5	Shear strength data from different locations of stable slopes	110
Table 4.6	Shear strength data at different depths	111
Table 4.7	The country where shear strength data were obtained	111
Table 4.8	Different types of shear strength tests	111

Table 4.9	Types of residual soils where shear strength data were obtained	111
Table 4.10	The maximum, minimum and average bulk unit weight in this study	113
Table 4.11	The ranges of dense and loose bulk unit weight found in this study	113
Table 4.12	Maximum displacements at peak shear strength when using 60 x 60mm shear box apparatus (Head, 1994)	113
Table 4.13	The average displacements at peak shear strength when using CD shear box under various conditions and locations in this study	115
Table 4.14	The typical results of 1-D consolidation test	116
Table 4.15	Summary of 1-D consolidation test results	117
Table 4.16	Distribution properties of natural and submerged moisture content tests at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	122

Table 4.17	Distribution properties of particle density at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	123
Table 4.18	Distribution properties of Atterberg limit tests at slope failures in Penang and Baling	127
Table 4.19	Distribution properties of Atterberg limit tests at stable slopes in Penang and Baling	127
Table 4.20	Distribution properties of percentage of gravel, sand, silt and clay at slope failures in Penang and Baling	131
Table 4.21	Distribution properties of percentage of gravel, sand, silt and clay at stable slopes in Penang and Baling	131
Table 4.22	Distribution of soil types at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	132
Table 4.23	Distribution properties of bulk unit weight at stable slopes and slope failures	136
Table 4.24	Distribution properties of JKR probe test results at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	137
Table 4.25	Typical results of CD shear box tests	142



Table 4.26	Results vertical displacements of CD shear box tests	142
Table 4.27	Summary of normal stresses versus peak shearing stresses	143
Table 4.28	Summary of the effective shear strength parameters	144
Table 4.29	Influence of high percentage of silt content at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	146
Table 4.30	Typical products of rock weathering (Tan, 2004 and Ahmad et al., 2000)	146
Table 4.31	Distribution properties of shear strength at stable slopes and slope failures in Penang and Baling	149
Table 4.32	Ranges of shear strength in Penang (granitic RS) and Baling (sedimentary RS) in this study as well from earlier researchers	149
Table 4.33	Output Results of t-test for equality of means	150
Table 4.34	Ranges of effective shear strength from earlier researchers as well as all results in this study	152
Table 4.35	The outlier friction angle values found in this study	152

Table 4.36	Soil classification at maximum value of cohesions	153
Table 4.37	Shear strength from repeatable tests on SAND taken from USA (Bareither et al., 2008)	160
Table 4.38	Shear strength from repeatable tests on very silty SAND taken from Penang, Malaysia	160
Table 4.39	Shear strength from repeatable tests on sandy SILT taken from Italy (Matteo et al., 2013)	160
Table 4.40	Shear strength from repeatable tests on slightly sandy SILT taken from Baling, Malaysia	160
Table 4.41	Natural and submerged moisture contents as well as natural and submerged degree of saturations in repeatable tests	161
Table 4.42	Results of CD shear box and CIU triaxial tests for samples taken from slope failures	163
Table 4.43	Distribution properties of shear strength from PWD data and this study	165
Table 4.44	Randomised shear strength data from slope failures in this study	166

Table 4.45	The distributions properties for the various distributions for the shear strength at slope failures	170
Table 4.46	Values of various performance indicators under various distribution types for shear strength from slope failures	171
Table 4.47	Differences between data from this study and PWD data collected	172
Table 4.48	The distributions properties of Normal distribution for the observed validation, training and predicted validation data	175
Table 4.49	Comparison between observed validation and predicted validation data when tested using various performance indicators	176
Table 4.50	Distributions properties of training, validation data and PWD data	181
Table 4.51	Additional normality tests carried out on training and PWD data	182
Table 4.52	Additional normality tests carried out on observed validation and predicted validation data	182

Table 4.53	Normality tests carried out on all data from this study without dividing into 70 : 30 ratio	182
Table 4.54	Threshold values of cohesion and friction angle from this study	184
Table 4.55	Lower bound, mean and upper bound values of cohesion ( $c'$ ) from training data	187
Table 4.56	Lower bound, mean and upper bound values of friction angle ( $\phi'$ ) from training data	188
Table 4.57	Lower bound, mean and upper bound values of cohesion ( $c'$ ) from PWD data	189
Table 4.58	Lower bound, mean and upper bound values of friction angle ( $\phi'$ ) from PWD data	190
Table 4.59	Lower bound, mean and upper bound values of shear strength from statistical method	190
Table 4.60	Summary of lower bound mean and upper bound values of shear strength	191

Table 4.61	Lower bound of the shear strength from statistical method and smallest threshold values of soil shear strength	191
Table 4.62	Results of SLOPE / W analysis based on un-failed slope cross sections using lower bound, mean value and upper bound values of shear strength	192
Table 4.63	Lower limits of shear strength parameters from earlier researchers in Malaysia and Hong Kong residual soils	195
Table 4.64	Lower bound values from statistical method, threshold values and limit values of shear strength parameters	196
Table 4.65	Results of repeatable shear box test results using different sizes and different test apparatus	201
Table 4.66	Results of other shear strength parameters of soil from this study near or within the lower bound values	204
Table 4.67	Lower bound of the shear strength from statistical method, threshold values and lowest limits of soil shear strength	204
Table 4.68	Normality tests carried out on all data from this study without dividing into 70 : 30 rati	206
Table 4.69	Checking the range where the majority of the data will fall	206

Table 4.70	Results of SLOPE / W analysis based on un-failed slope cross sections using lower bound, mean value and upper bound values of shear strength where most data fall into	207
Table 4.71	FOS obtained when using un-failed cross sections at each slope failure locations using LB, mean and UB values	208
Table 4.72	The lower bound values of cohesion and friction angle for Malaysian residual soils	209

## LIST OF FIGURES

		<b>Page</b>
Figure 1.1	Slope failures occurring in Malaysia from 1988 – 2009	1
Figure 2.1	Deep-seated and shallow slope failures (Md Noor, 2011)	11
Figure 2.2	Potential shallow failure occurring at the boundary between saturated and unsaturated zones (Md Noor et al., 2006)	13
Figure 2.3	Various definitions of FOS (a) shear strengths ratio	19
Figure 2.4	Fellenius's presentation in 1918 using trial and error method (Nash, 1992)	20
Figure 2.5	Sliding of a block of soil slope (Nash, 1992)	23
Figure 2.6	Typical forces acting on a free body of a slope slice	23
Figure 2.7	(a) Element with minimum nodes (b) Element with more nodes for more accurate measurements (PLAXIS 2001)	28
Figure 2.8	Deformed mesh (PLAXIS, 2004)	28

Figure 2.9	Simplified geological map of Malaysia (Simplified geological map after Komoo, 1985)	30
Figure 2.10	Detailed geological map of Penang (Ahmad et al., 2008)	31
Figure 2.11	:Detailed geological map of Baling area in Northern Malaysia. (Almayahi et al., 2012)	32
Figure 2.12	Shear strengths are scattered and increasing with depth (Brenner et al., 1997)	40
Figure 2.13	Typical Q - Q plots of beta and normal distributions for shear strength (Lumb, 1970)	54
Figure 2.14	Typical observed CDF and predicted CDF	54
Figure 2.15	Skewness (a) negatively skewed (b) normal and (c). positively skewed distributions (Doane et al., 2011)	58
Figure 2.16	Kurtosis (a) positively kurtosis (b) normal kurtosis and (c) negatively kurtosis distributions (MVPstats – Help, 2008)	59
Figure 2.17	Distribution of FOS for the proposed repair works of Shek Kip Mei slope failure in Hong Kong (Morgenstern, 2007)	60